Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,392	OKOCHI, SATOSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh H. Chau	2854
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Randi Isaacs.	(3)	
(2) Minh H. Chau.	(4)	,
Date of Interview: <u>02 March 2005</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,9,17 and 19</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Parry (Pub. No. US 2003/0217124 A1).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussion regarding the 102(e) rejection in the Final rejection. An amendment will be fill by the Applicant</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		,
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Minh	han
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required